

Review of Performance Audit Report

Name of the Institution	:	Bankura Unnayani Institute of Engineering West Bengal	Sub-component	:	
Name of Performance Auditor of the institution	:	Prof. SP Kallurkar			
Name of Data Auditor of the institution	:	Ram Chandra Das			
CRITERIA	Rating (A, B, or C)	Comments to assist NPIU in handling the report. ¹			
i. Completeness	A	Yes			
ii. Consistency and relevance	B	Lack of consistency			
iii. Details and specificity	C	Not at all			
iv. Meticulousness	C	No			
v. Feedback clarity	B				
Overall rating for the report	B	Scope is there in improvement			

¹ The Evaluators should indicate changes needed to be made to the report before it can be sent back to the institution. For good reports (rated 'A'), these can be sent to the institution formally as a completed report. For average reports (rated 'B'), the evaluators should provide guidance on what needs to be done: such as providing more evidence in particular sections, clarifying some points, or some quick editing of the report. For poor reports (rated 'C'), the performance auditor may need to substantially revise the report, or, if too much time has passed, conduct the audit visit again and write the report.